

Board of Retirement Regular Meeting

Sacramento County Employees' Retirement System

Agenda Item 22A

MEETING DATE: December 11, 2024

SUBJECT: Administrative Appeal—TIDWELL, Walter

Deliberation Receive SUBMITTED FOR: ___ Consent _X and Action ___ and File

RECOMMENDATION

Based on evidence presented by, procured from, and obtained on behalf of Walter Tidwell (hereinafter "Appellant"), Staff recommends that the Retirement Board:

- (1) Find that Appellant is <u>not</u> entitled to a reversion of his now deceased former wife, Barbara Nimmo's (Nimmo), community property interest in Appellant's SCERS retirement benefits; and
- (2) Affirm the denial of Appellant's request to a reversion of Nimmo's community property interest in Appellant's SCERS retirement benefits as described in the Chief Executive Officer's determination letter e-mailed on August 7, 2024 (Exhibit A).

Appellant is a former Criminal Investigator, who previously worked for the District Attorney and accrued nearly 28 years of Safety Tier 1 service in the Sacramento County Employees' Retirement System (SCERS). Appellant entered SCERS in 1962 and service retired January 15, 1989, electing Option 1 and naming his daughters as beneficiaries.

BENEFIT ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL REQUEST

In a request dated October 4, 2024, Appellant appealed to the SCERS Board of Retirement (BOR) the SCERS CEO's denial of his request for the community property interest of his deceased former spouse, Nimmo, to revert to him due to her passing (Exhibit B). In making his request, Appellant alleges that SCERS must comply with the court order based on a purported mutual agreement that if Nimmo predeceased Appellant, her share of the community interest in the benefits being paid under the plan would revert to Appellant (Exhibit C).

FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

Appellant married Nimmo in 1963 and divorced in 1988. Appellant and Nimmo entered into a settlement, including division of property, that was turned into an order of the court. The community SCERS service totaled 22.54 years and upon Appellant's service retirement in 1989, SCERS began paying benefits to Appellant and Nimmo according to the court order; 46.27% to Appellant and 53.73% to Nimmo.

On May 1, 2024, SCERS was contacted by Cindy Tidwell, the current spouse of Appellant, who reported the April 29, 2024, death of Nimmo. Ms. Tidwell indicated that she would mail in the death certificate and dissolution documents indicating that the community property interest in Appellant's benefits being paid to Nimmo would revert to Appellant upon Nimmo's death.

In a letter dated May 17, 2024 (Exhibit D), Appellant requested that SCERS begin sending his deceased ex-spouse's shares of his retirement benefits to him effective June 1, 2024, per the May 6, 1988, Entry of Judgement which he enclosed. Appellant indicated he would send a copy of the original death certificate once obtained. At the time of her death, Nimmo was receiving \$2,614.84 per month as her community interest in the Appellant's SCERS retirement benefits.

On June 7, 2024, Appellant scanned and emailed to SCERS a copy of Nimmo's death certificate.

On June 12, 2024, SCERS emailed Appellant stating that Nimmo's community interest in Appellant's benefits do not revert to him upon her passing (Exhibit E).

In a letter dated July 8, 2024, SCERS was notified by Steven Welty, Attorney with Mastagni Holstedt, APC and representing Appellant, asking for a detailed determination and the process for appeal (Exhibit F).

On July 10, 2024, SCERS CEO emailed Mr. Welty acknowledging SCERS receipt of his July 8, 2024, letter and that the CEO will respond within 60 days according to the Administrative Appeals Policy (Exhibit G).

On August 7, 2024, SCERS CEO issued a denial of Appellant's claim that Nimmo's community interest in his retirement benefits should revert back to him upon her passing according to the marital settlement agreement.

On October 4, 2024, Appellant appealed to the SCERS BOR.

Appellant alleges that Nimmo's community property interest in the SCERS benefits being paid must revert to Appellant due to Nimmo's death.

The marital settlement agreement does state in pertinent part, as follows:

If Wife predeceases Husband, her share in the community interest in the benefits being paid under [his retirement with the County of Sacramento] shall revert to Husband.

However, this provision violates California Family Code section 2610, subdivision (a), which provides, in relevant part, that "the court shall make whatever orders are necessary or appropriate to ensure that each party receives the party's full community property share in any retirement plan, whether public or private, including all survivor and death benefits[.]" (Cal. Fam. Code § 2610, subd. (a); *In re Marriage of Belthius* (2023) 88 Cal.App.5th, 1*, *12.) This statute was enacted to abolish the judicially created terminable interest rule that, in marriage dissolution proceedings, previously governed the disposition of community property interests in retirement benefits upon the death of either former spouse. (*In re Marriage of Powers* (1990) 218 Cal.App.3d 626, 634.)

December 11, 2024 Page 3 of 3 Agenda Item 22A

Previously, the terminable interest rule provided that "a nonemployee spouse's interest in pension benefits terminated on that person's death." (*In re Marriage of Nice* (1991) 230 Cal.App.3d 444, 451.) However, Family Code section 2610's abolishment of the former terminable interest rule means that "a nonemployee spouse's community property interest [in pension benefits] is inheritable. (*In re Marriage of Nice, supra,* 230 Cal.App.3d at p. 452; *see also, In re Marriage of Powers, supra,* 218 Cal.App.3d at p. 639 ["if the nonemployee spouse dies before the employee spouse, his or her interest in the employee spouse's pension plan does not revert to the employee spouse by operation of the terminable interest rule but becomes part of the nonemployee spouse's estate"].)

There had been several concerns with the terminable interest rule which, among other concerns, "had been criticized as creating an unequal division of community property leading to a windfall profit to the employee spouse and his or her new spouse." (*In re Marriage of Powers, supra,* 218 Cal.App.3d at p. 636.) The concerns that the prior terminable interest rule had been unjust also resulted in the court in *In re Marriage of Taylor* (1987) 189 Cal.App.3d 435, declaring the prior enactment of Family Code section 2610 (see footnote 1 above) retroactive. (*Id.*; see also, *In re Marriage of Powers, supra,* 218 Cal.App.3d at p. 636.)

CONCLUSION

Even though the martial settlement agreement between Appellant and Nimmo contains express language regarding the reversion of the ex-spouse's community property interest, SCERS cannot comply with the court order because it appears to violate California law since Appellant's request lacks sufficient information for SCERS to safely conclude that Nimmo wished to bequeath her inheritable community property interest in Appellant's retirement benefits back to her former spouse as opposed to another.

ATTACHMENTS

- Board Order
- Board Catalog

Prepared by:	
/s/	
Eric Stern Chief Executive Officer	



Retirement Board Order

Sacramento County Employees' Retirement System

Before the Board of Retirement December 11, 2024

AGENDA ITEM	l:	
	Administrative Appeal—TIDWELL,	Walter

THE BOARD OF RETIREMENT hereby accepts the recommendation of staff to:

- (1) Find that Appellant is not entitled to a reversion of his now deceased former wife, Barbara Nimmo's (Nimmo), community property interest in Appellant's SCERS retirement benefits; and
- (2) Affirm the denial of Appellant's request to a reversion of Nimmo's community property interest in Appellant's SCERS retirement benefits as described in the Chief Executive Officer's determination letter e-mailed on August 7, 2024.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above order was passed and adopted on December 11, 2024 by the following vote of the Board of Retirement, to wit:

AYES:
NOES:
ABSENT:
ABSTAIN:
ALTERNATES: (Present but not voting)



Retirement Board Order Sacramento County Employees' Retirement System

James Diepenbrock	Eric Stern
Board President	Chief Executive Officer and
	Board Secretary

EXHIBIT A



Eric Stern, Chief Executive Officer Margo Allen, Chief Operations Officer Steve Davis, Chief Investment Officer Keith Riddle, Chief Benefits Officer Timothy Taylor, Chief Technology Officer Jason Morrish, General Counsel

Via e-mail:			
Steven W. V	Velty, Esq.		
		Ī	

Re: CEO's Administrative Decision Appeal of Staff Decision re Request to Modify Retirement Benefits for SCERS' Member Walter Tidwell

Dear Mr. Welty:

As the Chief Executive Officer of the Sacramento County Employees' Retirement System ("SCERS"), I was sent your letter dated July 8, 2024. The letter was deemed an appeal of the staff's determination that your client, Walter Tidwell, is not entitled to a reversion of his former wife, Barbara Nimmo's, community property interest in Mr. Tidwell's retirement benefits with SCERS. notwithstanding her passing and a Domestic Relations Order ("DRO") indicating otherwise. After reviewing your letter, the DRO, Mr. Tidwell's file here at SCERS, the applicable statutory law, and the case law interpreting the applicable statute, Mr. Tidwell's appeal is denied.

You are correct that there is a DRO in place that provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

If Wife predeceases Husband, her share in the community interest in the benefits being paid under [his retirement with the County of Sacramento] shall revert to Husband.

However, this provision violates California Family Code section 2610, subdivision (a), which provides, in relevant part, that "the court shall make whatever orders are necessary or appropriate to ensure that each party receives the party's full community property share in any retirement plan, whether public or private, including all survivor and death benefits[.]" (Cal. Fam. Code § 2610, subd. (a)¹; *In re Marriage of Belthius* (2023) 88 Cal.App.5th, 1*, *12.) This statute was enacted to abolish the judicially created terminable interest rule that, in marriage dissolution proceedings, previously governed the disposition of community property interests in retirement benefits upon the death of either former spouse. (*In re Marriage of Powers* (1990) 218 Cal.App.3d 626, 634.)

Previously, the terminable interest rule provided that "a nonemployee spouse's interest in pension benefits terminated on that person's death." (*In re Marriage of Nice* (1991) 230 Cal.App.3d 444, 451.) However, Family Code section 2610's abolishment of the former terminable interest rule means that "a nonemployee spouse's community property interest [in

.

¹ Effective January 1, 1987, the California Legislature adopted California Civil Code section 4800.8, which abrogated the judicially developed terminable interest rule. (*In re Marriage of Powers* (1990) 218 Cal.App.3d 626, 633.) In 1992, the California Legislature created the Family Code, and in doing so, repealed Civil Code section 4800.8, among others, and enacted Family Code section 2610, among others. (Stats. 1992, ch. 162.)

pension benefits] is inheritable. (*In re Marriage of Nice, supra,* 230 Cal.App.3d at p. 452; see also, *In re Marriage of Powers, supra,* 218 Cal.App.3d at p. 639 ["if the nonemployee spouse dies before the employee spouse, his or her interest in the employee spouse's pension plan does not revert to the employee spouse by operation of the terminable interest rule but becomes part of the nonemployee spouse's estate"].)

There had been several concerns with the terminable interest rule which, among other concerns, "had been criticized as creating an unequal division of community property leading to a windfall profit to the employee spouse and his or her new spouse." (*In re Marriage of Powers, supra,* 218 Cal.App.3d at p. 636.) The concerns that the prior terminable interest rule had been unjust also resulted in the court in *In re Marriage of Taylor* (1987) 189 Cal.App.3d 435, declaring the prior enactment of Family Code section 2610 (see footnote 1 above) retroactive. (*Id.*; see also, *In re Marriage of Powers, supra,* 218 Cal.App.3d at p. 636.)

In conclusion, even though the DRO between Mr. Tidwell and his ex-wife contains express language that if the ex-wife predeceases Mr. Tidwell, her share in the community property interest in Mr. Tidwell's retirement plan with SCERS must revert to Mr. Tidwell, this language violates Family Code section 2610, subdivision (a), which is retroactive and thus cannot be complied with by SCERS. Accordingly, based upon the statutory and case law cited above, I am confirming the staff denial of Mr. Tidwell's request to have his deceased, ex-wife's community property interest in his SCERS retirement plan reverted to Mr. Tidwell, and I am denying Mr. Tidwell's appeal of that staff determination.

Pursuant to SCERS' Administrative Appeals Policy, if Mr. Tidwell disputes this CEO determination, he has 60 calendar days from the date of this written notice of the CEO's Administrative Decision to submit a written request for the Board of Retirement's consideration of this Decision. If Mr. Tidwell seeks Board review, he must submit any additional documentation or explanation, including affidavits, with his request for a Board review. Within the 60-day period to request Board review, Mr. Tidwell may request, in writing, an extension of the time to file a request for Board review, which will only be granted upon a showing of good cause for the requested extension.

Finally, if a written request for either an extension or Board review of this CEO Administrative Decision is not timely submitted to SCERS, the matter shall be deemed resolved and closed and this Administrative Decision shall be final.

Sincerely,

Eric Stern, CEO

Sacramento County Employees' Retirement System

EXHIBIT B

Received

STEVEN W. WELTY, ESQ. (SBN 192092) MASTAGNI HOLSTEDT A Professional Corporation 1912 "I" Street

OCT 0 4 2024

Sacramento, California 95811 Telephone: (916) 446-4692 Sacramento County Employees' Retirement System

Facsimile: (916) 447-4614

Attorney for Petitioner, Walter Tidwell

6 7

1

2

3

4

5

SACRAMENTO COUNTY EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

٧.

8

10 WALTER TIDWELL

Appellant,

SACRAMENTO COUNTY EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM,

Respondent,

REQUEST FOR BOARD OF RETIREMENT'S CONSIDERATION

INTRODUCTION

I.

Walter Tidwell ("Tidwell") was a participating member in the Sacramento County Employees' Retirement System ("SCERS"). Tidwell retired in 1989 (See attached declaration of Tidwell). Tidwell and his ex-spouse ("Nimmo") divorced in 1988 with a mutually agreed settlement, including division of property, that was turned into an order of the court. SCERS has a copy of the order as part of the record in this matter. A courtesy copy is attached to the Declaration of Tidwell. In the order, the parties agreed to divide the SCERS retirement benefits as follows: Nimmo would receive 53.73% of the community interest and benefits, and Tidwell would receive 46.27%. If Nimmo predeceased Tidwell, her share of the community interest in the benefits being paid under the plan would revert to Tidwell (Declaration Ex. 1 Pages 2-3 Lines 21-1).

SCERS accepted the court order and began to pay the parties as agreed to above. For the last thirty-five years the parties have been paid in this manner by SCERS. On 4/30/24 Nimmo passed away. Tidwell notified SCERS and provided a copy of Nimmo's death certificate. SCERS staff made a determination that Tidwell could not receive Nimmo's community interest after her death. Tidwell appealed the determination to the CEO. On 8/7/24 CEO Stern issued a decision denying Tidwell's appeal of the staff determination. This request for the Board of Retirement's Consideration follows.

II. ARGUMENT

CEO Stern relies on the abolishment of the "terminable interest rule" ("rule") with the legislature's enactment of Family Code section 2610. The reliance on these authorities is in error. The rule required the community property interest in a retirement benefit of the non-employee exspouse to revert to the surviving ex-spouse on the non-member's death. Even though the community property was split 50-50, the deceased ex-spouse lost the benefit of that interest on death and could not will it to a beneficiary or heir. In effect, it was only a temporary property right and not truly the sole property of the party on divorce.

Tidwell is not relying on the rule. Family Code section 2610 generally requires that the court shall make orders to ensure each party receive the party's full community property share in the retirement plan, including survivor and death benefits. Disposition of retirement benefits on death can be ordered on written agreement of the parties (See Family Code section 2610(a)1 and 2550). In this case Tidwell and Nimmo agreed to a division of the SCERS benefits in the settlement agreement and court order. Not only did Nimmo receive her full community property share, she received a portion of Tidwell's as well. The community property interest is generally split 50% each. Here, Nimmo received an additional 3.73 % and Tidwell received 3.73% less than the full community property shares. Nimmo received the additional amount for 35 years in exchange for providing what was left of her SCERS benefits on death to Tidwell. The terminable interest rule does not apply.

///

CEO Stern determined that the case law pursuant to abolition of the rule holds that a court order cannot compel SCERS to revert Nimmo's property interest in the SCERS benefit to Tidwell on her death. The reliance on these cases is misplaced. None of the cases cited by CEO Stern deal with a fact pattern where the parties agreed to a division of the retirement interest. None of these cases deal with a fact pattern where a party received more than her community property share in exchange for turning her remaining property interest in the SCERS benefits over to the surviving exspouse on her death. In all of those cases the community interest was split 50-50 and there was no agreement between the parties for the community interest to revert back to the surviving exspouse.

In *Belthius*, a stipulated judgement awarded each party 50% of the community interest. There was no agreement to any type of transfer of the property interest after death. In later proceedings a trial court adopted an order presented by one party that reverted the community property interest back on death. There was no agreement to this between the parties. It was a unilateral action that mimics the terminable interest rule. On appeal, the court of appeals rejected the unilateral division and reversed the trial court.

In *Nice*, there was a judgement that split the community property interest 50-50. Again, there was no agreement for the community property interest to revert back on death. The court recognized the rule had been abolished, but the case did not deal with the fact pattern here, where Nimmo, by mutual written agreement, received more than her community property share in exchange for turning her remaining property interest in the SCERS benefits over to Tidwell on her death.

In *Powers*, there was no agreement on the division of retirement benefits. The Court reserved jurisdiction over the division of retirement benefits. The ex-spouse then died before the employee retired. The court recognized the rule had been abolished. Again, the case is not on point to the fact pattern at issue here.

In *Taylor*, the court unilaterally ordered that on the wife's death, the rights and interests of wife and her estate to receive the benefits would terminate and the husband would be allowed to receive te entire payment. Again, the case is not on point to the fact pattern at issue here.

1	ı
2	
2	
4	
5	
6 ° 7	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	l e
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

If Tidwell was demanding Nimmo's property interest in the SCERS benefits revert back to him, after being divided 50-50, solely because Nimmo was deceased, Stern's decision would be correct and the action would be prohibited by the Family Code and case law. However, as stated above, Tidwell does not rely on the terminable interest rule. Nimmo mutually agreed to receiving more than her community interest during her life in exchange for giving up any remaining property interest in SCERS after her death. Such agreement is not in violation of the Family Code or case law.

In addition, under CEO Stern's rationale, the original agreement would have been in violation of Family Code section 2610 because the statute is meant to ensure that each party receive the party's full community property share in the retirement plan. Tidwell has only been receiving 46.27 % for the last 35 years. Because the court in *Taylor* held Family Code section 2610 was retroactive, SCERS would have been providing benefits in violation of these authorities for the last 35 years to Tidwell's detriment.

III. CONCLUSION

SCERS is in possession of a valid order of the Sacramento Superior Court that properly and lawfully divided the SCERS benefits between the parties. Tidwell is now entitled to any remaining property interest in Nimmo's SCERS benefits pursuant the parties mutual agreement on their divorce. The agreement and order are not made unlawful pursuant to the Family Code or case law as argued above. Tidwell respectfully requests SCERS abide by the court order.

Respectfully submitted,

MASTAGNI HOLSTEDT

Dated: October 4, 2024

STEVEN W. WELTY Attorney for Walter Tidwell

1 2	STEVEN W. WELTY, ESQ. (SBN 192092) MASTAGNI HOLSTEDT A Professional Corporation 1912 I Street Second Colifornia 05811		
3	Sacramento, California 95811 Telephone: (916) 446-4692 Facsimile: (916) 447-4614		
5	Attorney for SCERS Retiree Walter Tidwell		
7	â		
8	DECLARATION OF WALTER TIDWELL		
9	I, Walter Tidwell declare as follows:		
11	1. I am over the age of 18 and competent to provide testimony. I have personal knowledge of all		
12	of the information I provide here other than that which I state to be based on information and/or belief.		
13	If called upon I could and would testify as I swear to in this declaration.		
14	2. I am currently retired and receiving retirement benefits from the Sacramento County Employees		
15	Retirement System ("SCERS").		
16	3. When I retired in 1989, I provided SCERS with a copy of the divorce order issued by the		
17	Sacramento County Superior Court. The order provided in part that my ex-spouse would receive 53.73		
18	% of the SCERS retirement benefit and I would receive 46.27 % of the benefit. In addition, if my ex-		
19	spouse predeceased me, I would then receive her community interest in the benefits being paid (a true		
20	and correct copy of the order is attached as Exhibit 1, See page 2 Section I B.)		
21	4. SCERS accepted the court order and has been paying benefits as set forth in the order from the		
22	date of my retirement to present.		
23	5. SCERS never advised me that the court order was unenforceable until I notified them in 2024		
24	of my ex-spouse's death.		
25	I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing		
26	statements are true and correct and if called to testify as to these facts, I could and would do so.		
27	//		
28	//		
	DECLARATION OF WALTER TIDWELL		

1	
2	Executed this 20 ^{7H} day of September, 2024 at Sacramento, California.
3	
4	Walter Tidwell Walter Tidwell
5	Walter Hawen
6	
7	e B
8	
9	
10	#
11	
12	54
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	er a state of the
20	
21	
22	£ 9
23	at a
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	a a

EXHIBIT 1

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Andress): TELEPHONE NO.	FOR COURT USE ONLY
GREVE, CLIFFORD, DIEPENBROCK & PARAS	
1000 G Street, Suite 400 (916) 443-2011	
Post Office Box 2469	
Sacramento, CA 95811-2469	ENDORSED
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Petitioner WALTER V. TIDWELL	LIVUNOLU
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO	
STREET ADDRESS: 720 Ninth Street	8 m 2 0 1000
MAILING ADDRESS:	мду - 6 1988
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Sacramento, CA 95814	
BRANCH NAME:	JOYCE RUSSELL SMITH, CLERK
MARRIAGE OF	By O. Death Deputy
PETITIONER: WALTER V. TIDWELL	v. Courtney
DADADA Y MIDITAL	
RESPONDENT: BARBARA J. TIDWELL	F 351
	CASE NUMBER:
NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT	837081
	L
You are notified that the following judgment was entered on <i>(date)</i> :	ž.
1. X Dissolution of Marriage	8
2. Dissolution of Marriage — Status Only	
3. Dissolution of Marriage — Reserving Jurisdiction over Termination of Ma	rital Status
4. Legal Separation	39
- Li tagai depination	
5. Nullity	(A)
6. Other (specify):	2 8
Date: MAY - 6 1988 JOYCE RUSSELL SMITH, Clerk, by	ourtney Deputy
 NOTICE TO ATTORNEY OF RECORD OR PARTY WITHOUT Pursuant to the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure section 1952, if no appeal is filed to otherwise disposed of after 60 days from the expiration of the appeal time. 	
Effective date of termination of marital status (specify): Tipost Carpy Of Tip	ne mochen
WARNING: NEITHER PARTY MAY REMARRY UNTIL THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE TELE AS SHOWN IN THIS BOX.	RMINATION OF MARITAL STATUS
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	
certify that I am not a party to this cause and that a true copy of the Notice of Entry of the Notice was fully prepaid, in a sealed envelope addressed as shown below, and that the notice was	
College	III
on Marel	
Dare: 1.3 JOYCE RUSSELL SMITH Clerk by V. Cock	They Deputy
- kn.	<i>k</i> .
GREVÉ, CLIFFORD, DIEPENBROCK & PARAS. Donna T. Budwi	in .
1000 G Street, Suite 400 Attorney at La	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	venue, Suite 109
Sacramento, CA 95811-2469 Citrus Heights	
	*
PART	ليت

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY INforme and Iresel: GREVE, CLIFFORD, DIEPENBROCK & PARAS 1000 G Street, Suite 400 Post Office Box 2469 Sacramento, CA 95811-2469			
ATTORNEY FOR INLAMED: Petitioner -WALTER V. TIDWELL SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO STREET ADDRESS: 720 Ninth Street	ENDORSED Filed		
MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: Sacramento, CA 95814 BRANCH NAME:	MAY 05 1988		
MARRIAGE OF PETITIONER: WALTER V. TIDWELL	JOYCE RUSSELL SMITH, CLERK By A. McINTYRE, Deputy		
RESPONDENT: BARBARA J. TIDWELL	as i		
JUDGMENT Z Dissolution Legal separation Nullity Status only Reserving jurisdiction over termination of marital status	CASE NUMBER: 837081		
Date marital status ends: Upon entry of judgment			
a. Date: May 5, 1988 Dept.: 11 Rm.: b. Judge Inamel: ARTHUR G. SCOTLAND Temporary judge c. X Petitioner present in court X Attorney present in court Inamel: JOHN W. MUNSILL d. X Respondent present in court X Attorney present in court Inamel: DONNA T. BUDWIN e. Claimant present in court Inamel: Attorney present in court Inamel: Attorney present in court Inamel: 2. The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent on Idatel: 7/3/87 X Respondent was served with process Respondent appeared			
3. THE COURT ORDERS, GOOD CAUSE APPEARING: a. X Judgment of dissolution be entered. Maintal status is terminated and the parties are restored to the status of unmarried persons (1) X on the following date (specify): Upon entry of judgment. (2) on a date to be determined on noticed motion of either party or on stipulation. b. Judgment of legal separation be entered. c. Judgment of nullity be entered and the parties are declared to be unmarried persons on the ground of (specify): 4. THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS:			
 a. Jurisdiction is reserved to make other and further orders necessary to carry out this judgment. b. Wife's former name be restored (specify): c. This judgment shall be entered nunc pro tunc as of (date): d. Jurisdiction is reserved over all other issues and all present orders remain in effect except as provided below. e. Any payment for spousal or family support iontained in this judgment shall terminate upon the death of the payee unless otherwise provided. f. X Other (specify): See Attachment "A" attached hereto, which is incorporated 			
in, merged with, and made a part of this Judgm The parties are ordered to comply with each an Attachment "A".	ent by this reference.		
**************************************	**************************************		
5. Number of pages attached: 22 - X Signature f	ollows last attachment		
- NOTICE -	<u> </u>		
 Please revisive/our will, insurance policity. Intrinent benefit plans, and other matters you may want to change in view of the dissolution or annument or your marriage. Seeing your marriage may automatically change a disposition made by your will to your former spouse. A debt or obligation may be assigned to one party as part of the division of property and debts, but if that party does not pay the debt or obligation. The creditor may be able to collect from the other party. If you call to pay any court ordered shall support, an assignment of your wages will be obtained without further notice to your. 			

THE PARTIES AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 |

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Petitioner WALTER V. TIDWELL (hereinafter "Husband") and Respondent BARBARA J. TIDWELL, (hereinafter "Wife") were married on September 28, 1963. Husband and Wife are both residents of Sacramento County, California.

- There are no minor children of the marriage.
- Husband's Social Security Number is Wife's Social Security Number is
- Husband is a criminal investigator with Sacramento County District Attorney's Office and also serves in the United States Air Force Reserves, grossing approximately \$4,300.00 per month. Wife is an employee with Research Management International, currently grossing approximately \$1,600.00 per month.
- 5. Irreconcilable differences have arisen Husband and Wife, as a result of which they separated on October 10, 1986. The parties also separated from November 1, 1985, to April 30, 1986, giving them a marriage of approximately 22 years and seven months.
- The intent of this Stipulated Judgment 6. effect a substantially equal division between Husband and Wife of their community assets and obligations, and to effect a complete and final settlement of their respective property rights and their rights to support and other financial rights and obligations, interests, and claims.

7

11

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7. In consideration of the foregoing, and of the respective promises set forth in this Stipulated Judgment, the parties agree as follows:

DIVISION OF COMMUNITY PROPERTY AND OBLIGATIONS

. Husband and Wife declare that the assets and obligations listed in Exhibit "A" constitute the property of their marriage that must be divided equally between them under California Civil Code Section 4800, subject to the provisions of paragraphs I.B. through C. and paragraph IV below. Husband and Wife declare that the assets and obligations shall be divided as stated in Exhibit "A", attached to this agreement and incorporated herein by this reference. To the extent the division herein is unequal, each party waives any such inequality.

B. The court shall reserve jurisdiction Husband's military reserve retirement and his retirement with the County of Sacramento. As to the military reserves, upon the earlier of Husband's reaching age 60 or actually retiring and beginning to receive retirement benefits, at Wife's election, the community interest in the benefits shall be divided 56.09% to Wife and 43.91% to Husband. As to the County of Sacramento, upon the earlier of Husband's reaching age 52 or actually retiring and beginning to receive retirement benefits, at Wife's election, the community interest in the benefits shall be divided 53.73% to Wife and 46.27% to Husband. If Wife predeceases Husband, her share in the community interest in the benefits being paid under

both of the above plans shall revert to Husband. The community interest in Husband's retirement benefits shall be calculated (1) under the time rule of In re Marriage of Brown (1976) 15 Cal.3d 838, 126 Cal.Rptr. 633, 544 P.2d 561, as to the County of Sacramento benefits and (2) by the ratio of creditable service points earned during the marriage to total creditable service points earned per In re Marriage of Poppe (1979 97 Cal.App.3d 1, 158 Cal.Rptr. 500, as to the military reserve benefits. the County of Sacramento, the total community years are 22.54. As to the military reserves, the total community points are 1244. Wife waives any rights she may have under In re Marriage of Gilmore (1981) 29 Cal.3d 418, 174 Cal.Rptr. 493, 629 P.2d 1, and its progeny in state and federal law to receive her share of Husband's retirement benefits prior to Husband's reaching age 52 (as to the County of Sacramento) or age 60 (as to the military Further, if Wife elects to receive her share of Husband's retirement benefits when Husband reaches age 52 (as to the County of Sacramento) or age 60 (as to the military reserves), she shall not continue to share in any increase in said benefits from the date of her election forward except for any automatic cost of living increases.

C. All community debts other than those listed in Exhibit "A" have been paid in full by the parties, each party waiving any Epstein credits he or she may have been entitled to because of the use of his or her separate funds to pay on said debts.

SEPARATE PROPERTY

2

4

3

5

6

7 8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The assets and debts set forth in Exhibit B hereto, which is incorporated herein by this reference, are confirmed to Husband as his sole and separate property.

The assets and debts set forth in Exhibit C hereto, which is incorporated herein by this reference, are confirmed to Wife as her sole and separate property.

The assets set forth in Exhibit D hereto, which is incorporated herein by this reference, are confirmed to parties' children, as indicated, as each child's sole separate property.

III.

SPOUSAL SUPPORT

The court shall retain jurisdiction over the issue of spousal support for both parties until the death of either party, the remarriage of the potential recipient, or further order of the court. Neither party shall pay spousal support to the other at this time.

IV.

TAX MATTERS

- A. Husband and Wife agree as follows on their tax matters:
- (1)The parties agree to file joint state and federal income tax returns for 1987. They also agree to bear equally any taxes and divide equally any refunds for 1987 and all

previous years during which the parties were married and filed joint tax returns. The parties will also bear equally all accounting, attorneys' fees, and court costs relating to income tax matters for the tax year of 1987 and preceding years for which they filed joint tax returns.

- (2) Should any deficiency be assessed or proposed to be assessed with respect to any joint income tax return filed by Husband and Wife, the parties or their representatives agree to notify each other and to cooperate with each other in contesting, opposing, negotiating, or settling such assessment or proposed assessment.
- transfer made by the other party during the period of their marriage, without adequate consideration and without the other party's knowledge and consent, the party who made the gift shall be solely responsible for all gift taxes, penalties, or interest payable by reason of such gift or transfer.
- returns for the tax year 1988 and following tax years. Each party shall pay and be solely responsible for any tax, and shall be solely entitled to any refund, due or payable on his or her separate returns for the tax year 1988 and following tax years, each party agreeing to indemnify and hold the other harmless from any tax due in said years. For any tax year in which the parties did not or do not file joint tax returns, each party shall be responsible for, and indemnify and hold the other harmless from,

any taxes due as a result of post-judgment-date ownership, sale, transfer, or other transaction for assets that each party obtains or retains under this judgment.

- (5) Each party agrees to pay all taxes on any retirement, pension, profit sharing, and any other deferred benefits he or she has received under the Stipulated Judgment when those benefits are actually distributed or paid to and received by that party.
- cussed with his or her respective attorney the capital gain or ordinary income consequences which may result because of the liquidation of their former family residence at 4928 Andover Court, Sacramento, California. Each agrees to bear one-half (1/2) of any capital gains and ordinary income taxes arising out of that liquidation.
- (7) As used in this section, the words tax, taxes, deficiency, and refund shall include interest and penalties, if any.
- (8) The Court shall retain jurisdiction to make further orders that are necessary to enforce the provisions of this tax agreement or any other portion of this Stipulated Judgment.

٧.

RECIPROCAL WAIVER OF INHERITANCE

Each party relinquishes the right to act as administrator or executor of the estate of the other, all right to inherit

from the other, and all right to receive in any manner any property of the other on the death of the other, either under succession laws, community property laws, or so-called family allowance, except when one party names the other as the devisee, legatee, beneficiary, or executor under his or her Will or other instrument executed after the effective date of this Stipulated Judgment, or when such right is otherwise exclusively provided for in this Stipulated Judgment.

VI.

WARRANTIES AND OTHER AGREEMENTS

A. Husband and Wife acknowledge that through inadvertence some property of their marriage may not have been disclosed in this Stipulated Judgment. If it is discovered later that on the date of this Stipulated Judgment either party possessed community or quasi-community property with an aggregate value of more than \$500.00 that is not listed in the Exhibits to this Stipulated Judgment, and that the other party had an interest in, the other party possessing the property agrees to transfer a one-half interest in that property to the other party, or, at the election of the other party, pay the full market value of the other party's interest in that property as of the effective date of this Stipulated Judgment, or the full market value of the other party's interest at the time the interest is discovered.

B. Husband and Wife declare that in the past three years they have not, without adequate consideration, made any gifts or transfers of community or quasi-community in excess of

an aggregate value of \$500.00 to third parties without the other spouse's consent. If it should be determined that either party has made any such gifts, that party agrees to pay to the other, on demand, an amount equal to 50% of the present fair market value of such gift, or, at the election of the other party, an amount equal to one-half of the fair market value of such gift at the time that such gift was made or on the effective date of this Stipulated Judgment.

VII.

ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS

A. In connection with these proceedings and the preparation of the Stipulated Judgment, Wife has been represented by the Law Offices of Shepherd & Budwin through Donna T. Budwin, an attorney at law duly licensed to practice in the State of California. Husband has been represented by Greve, Clifford, Diepenbrock & Paras, through John W. Munsill, an attorney at law duly licensed to practice in the State of California. Each party shall pay his or her own attorneys' fees and costs incurred in the negotiations for and preparation of this Stipulated Judgment, and in the proceeding for the dissolution of the parties' marriage.

B. If either party fails to perform his or her respective obligations under this Stipulated Judgment, and the other is thereby required to incur attorneys' fees, accountants' fees, or other fees or costs, then either party shall be entitled to apply to any court of competent jurisdiction for such fees and

16/FL3

8

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

costs against the other party. The same rights apply to either party who has breached any warranties or representations contained in this Stipulated Judgment.

VIII.

ADVICE OF COUNSEL: VOLUNTARY AGREEMEN

The parties stipulate that they have been represented by and relied on counsel of their own choosing in negotiating and preparing this Stipulated Judgment; that they have read this Stipulated Judgment, and it was explained fully to them by their respective counsel; that they are fully aware of the contents, legal effects, and consequences of this Stipulated Judgment and its provisions. Husband and Wife further declare that they have read this Stipulated Judgment and understand and accept its contents, that there have been no promises or agreements by either party to the other, except as set forth here, that were relied on by either as inducement to enter into this Stipulated Judgment, and that this Stipulated Judgment has been entered into voluntarily, free from duress, fraud, undue influence, coercion, or misrepresentation of any kind.

Each party understands and has been advised that agreements contained here for one party to assume the obligations of the community for the other party may not be binding on the creditor, and that the creditor may retain rights against either Additionally, each party has been advised that obligations to third parties and to each other are subject to possible discharge in bankruptcy.

ASSUMPTION OF OBLIGATIONS

A. As to any obligations either party has assumed under this Stipulated Judgment, the assuming party agrees to indemnify the non-assuming party and to hold the non-assuming party harmless with respect to said assumed obligation. Should the non-assuming party incur expenses, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees and costs, because of the assuming party's failure to pay the obligations, the assuming party shall reimburse the non-assuming party for any such expenses incurred.

B. Except as expressly set forth in this Stipulated Judgment, neither party shall hereafter incur any indebtedness chargeable against the other or his or her estate, or contract any debt or obligations in the name of the other, and each agrees to indemnify and hold the other harmless from any such indebtedness incurred or created by the indemnifying party.

C. Each party declares that, except as expressly referred to in this Stipulated Judgment, he or she has not created any debt or obligation for which the other party may be liable.

x.

WAIVER OF INTEREST IN FUTURE EARNINGS AND ACQUISITIONS

The parties agree that each shall own and hold the property received by him or her under the terms of this Stipulated Judgment and all earnings and other property hereafter acquired by each as his or her sole and separate property, free

4 5

from any claim of the other (except as specifically provided for herein), or of any creditor of the other by reason of the community property laws of the State of California, or by reason of any other law or fact.

XI.

INTEGRATION OF AGREEMENT

This Stipulated Judgment contains the entire agreement of the parties on the matters it covers, and it supersedes any previous agreement between the parties.

· XII.

DEFAULTS NOT WAIVED

No waiver of the breach of any of the terms or provisions of this Stipulated Judgment shall be a waiver of any preceding or succeeding breach of the Stipulated Judgment or any of the provisions of it.

XIII.

EXECUTION OF OTHER DOCUMENTS

Each party shall execute promptly all documents and instruments necessary or convenient to vest titles in estates as provided in this Stipulated Judgment to effectuate its purpose and intent. Notwithstanding the failure or refusal of either party to execute such instrument, this Stipulated Judgment shall constitute a complete transfer and conveyance of the property designated as being transferred, conveyed, or assigned by each party. If the parties fail to execute any document reasonably necessary to effectuate the terms of this Stipulated Judgment,

within thirty (30) days after presentation of this Stipulated Judgment, on ex parte application to the Superior Court (with twenty-four (24) hours notice of application to the other party), the Clerk shall be appointed to execute the document.

XTV.

EFFECT OF RECONCILIATION ON THIS STIPULATED JUDGMENT

If the parties reconcile after executing this Stipulated Judgment before its entry as a judgment of the Court, this Stipulated Judgment shall operate as a binding marital settlement agreement between the parties and shall continue in full force until modified, altered, or terminated in writing and signed by each party.

XV.

CAPTIONS

The captions of various paragraphs in this Stipulated Judgment are for convenience only, and none of them is intended to be any part of the text of this Stipulated Judgment or intended to be referred to in construing any of the provisions of the Stipulated Judgment.

XVI.

PARTIES BOUND

This Stipulated Judgment shall inure to the benefit of and be binding on the parties and their respective heirs, executors, administrators, successors, assigns, and legal representatives.

11/1///

26

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

7

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- If any portion of this Stipulated Judgment is held illegal, unenforceable, void or voidable by any court, each of the remaining terms shall continue in full force as a separate contract or binding court order.
- The parties agree that each shall have the right В. to live separate from the other without interference or harassment.
- This Stipulated Judgment shall be subject to, and interpreted under, the laws of the State of California.
- The parties shall retain their respective Social Security rights as their respective separate property. Under the present law, each party may have derivative rights to direct payment from the Social Security system from employment by the other party during the marriage, and such federal payments made directly to a party shall be his or her separate property.
- E. Each party shall have the right, and the other party shall cooperate by doing all reasonable acts and supplying all appropriate documents, to confirm, on a continuing basis, compliance with all of the provisions under this Stipulated Judgment.
- F. The party receiving specific property under this Stipulated Judgment shall be entitled to, and the other party shall transfer and assign to him or her, all rights, titles, and interests in the property, and shall also be entitled to existing

2	paid on that insurance, and shall	be solely responsible for the
3	payment of all premiums due therea	fter under the insurance policy
4	terms if the party decides, in h	nis or her sole discretion, to
- 5	maintain said policy in force.	
6	XVIII	N E E ma gr
7	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ń.
	EFFECTIVE	DATE
. 8	The effective date of t	his Stipulated Judgment is the
9	date the last party to sign it sig	ns it.
10		9 PF
11	DATED: 5-5-88	/=/
12		BARBARA J. TIDWELL, Wife
13		· /-/
	DATED: 5-5-88	WALTER V. TIDWELL, Husband
14	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
15		
16	Approved as to form and	content:
17		
18	DATED: 5-5-88	GREVE, CLIFFORD, DIEPENBROCK PARAS
19		u Intero
		By /r/
20	,	JOHN W. MUNSILL Attorneys for Husband
21	g 5	
22		10
23	DATED: 5-5-FF	LAW OFFICES OF SHEPHERD & BUDWIN
24	3 ¹⁰	ts
25		By JJ/ DONNA T. BUDWIN
1	NI 2	Attorneys for Wife
26	(46) _E	
	e,	F4

16/FL3

1 insurance on that property, and the benefits, if any, previously

EXHIBIT "A"

DIVISION OF COMMUNITY ASSETS AND OBLIGATIONS

3

5

7

8

2

TO HUSBAND:

Assets

6

One-half (1/2) the net proceeds from sale of the parties' former family residence at 4928 Andover Court, Sacramento, \$26,495.00), California (approximately subject \$10,000.00 trust fund being held as tenants in common with Wife until the capital gain/ordinary income tax consequences of said sale have been determined and paid.

9

Furniture, furnishings, tools, including air compressor, and appliances in Husband's possession.

11

10

F-150 3. 1985 Ford pickup, vehicle I.D. number 1FTEF15N7FPA84283.

12

One-half (1/2) the separation balances in the parties' 13 former joint bank accounts (already divided between the parties).

14

5. Husband's \$35,000.00 face value Servicemen's Group Insurance policy on Husband's life.

15

16 6. One Tax Life II \$10,000.00 single premium deposit insurance policy on Husband's life through National Western Life Insurance.

17

18 Mutual of New York term life insurance policy on Husband's 7. life, with face value of \$72,500.00 (through Husband's employment).

19

20 (1/2)the community shares οf Pioneer One-half Investment stock (app. value = \$1,350.00)

21

9. One-half (1/2) of the proceeds of Wife's former retirement fund at SMUD (total proceeds were \$6,700.00) - \$3,350.00 to be paid by Wife to Husband within 15 days of the effective date of this Stipulated Judgment.

23

24

25

22

10. Undivided interest in the community interest in Husband's military reserve and County of Sacramento retirement benefits, divided as set forth in paragraph I.B. of the above Stipulated Judgment.

- 11. Husband's annuity business.
- 12. Gregor boat, outboard motor, and trailer.
- 13. One-half (1/2) the total contributions to Husband's deferred compensation account with the County of Sacramento from the date of the parties' marriage through October 10, 1986, plus interest on these contributions to date of distribution.

Debts

- 1. Debt to Golden One Credit Union for the 1985 Ford F-150 pickup truck, with approximate separation balance of \$10,605.00.
- 2. All other community debts have been paid off with community funds or with separate funds for which each party has waived any available <u>Epstein</u> reimbursement rights.

TO WIFE

Assets

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

20

23

24

25

- 1. One-half (1/2) of the net proceeds from sale of the parties' former family residence at 4928 Andover Court, Sacramento, California, already divided between the parties, subject to the \$10,000.00 trust fund being held as tenants in common with Husband until the capital gain/ordinary income tax consequences of said sale have been determined and paid.
- 2. Furniture, furnishings, appliances and computer in Wife's possession.
 - 3. 1985 Dodge Colt automobile, vehicle ID number JP3BEZ4A8EU204103.
 - Real property located at 2141 Tiber River 4. Rancho Cordova, California, more particularly described as all that real property in the County of Sacramento, State of California, known as Lot 616, as shown on the Plat of Larchmont Sunriver Unit No. 7, according to the Official Plat thereof recorded in the Office of the Recorder of Book 137 Sacramento County, in οf Maps, Map No. 13. Assessor's Parcel No. 056-0400-005-0000.
- 5. One-half (1/2) the separation balances in the parties' former joint bank accounts (already divided between the parties).
- 6. One \$10,000.00 single premium deposit insurance policy on Wife's life through National Western Life Insurance.
 - 7. One-half (1/2) the community shares of Pioneer Group Investment stock (app. value = \$1,350.00)
- 19 8. One-half (1/2) of the proceeds of Wife's former retirement fund at SMUD (total proceeds were \$6,700.00).
- 9. Undivided interest in the community interest in Husband's military reserve and County of Sacramento retirement benefits, divided as set forth in paragraph I.B. of the above Stipulated Judgment.
 - 10. One-half (1/2) the total contributions to Husband's deferred compensation account with the County of Sacramento from the date of the parties' marriage through October 10, 1986, plus interest on those contributions to date of distribution. If Wife choses to withdraw the above amount before Husband would be eligible to retire and withdraw said amount, then Wife shall be solely responsible for any penalties,

interest, or other charges incurred because of such early withdrawal. Wife's annuity business. 11. Wife's personal effects. Debts Debt to ICA Mortgage on note with balance of approximately \$85,500.00, secured by deed of trust against 2141 Tiber River Drive, Rancho Cordova, California. Debt on 1985 Dodge Colt automobile (previous community balance of approximately \$2,000.00 to Sacramento Credit Union paid off from Wife's share of net sale proceeds on 4928 Andover Court, pursuant to Stipulation entered October 21, 1987.

16/FL3

EXHIBIT "B"

HUSBAND'S SEPARATE PROPERTY

- 1. All property acquired and debts incurred by Husband after the date of separation.
- 2. All property Husband has acquired by gift, bequest, devise, or inheritance and with the proceeds thereof.
- 3. Husband's interest in Century Properties Fund XVIII, ID #561-52-3261.
- 4. All property Husband acquired before the date of the parties' marriage.
- 5. The rents, issues, and profits of the above properties.

EXHIBIT "C"

	* 1
_	
7	75.3
•	- 1

WIFE'S SEPARATE PROPERTY

1. All property acquired and debts incurred by Wife after the date of separation.

2. All property Wife has acquired by gift, bequest, devise, or inheritance and with the proceeds thereof.

3. All property Wife acquired before the date of the parties' marriage.

The rents, issues, and profits of the above properties. .

_

16/FL3

- 20 -

EXHIBIT "D"

CHILDREN'S SEPARATE PROPERTY

The parties have transmuted the following assets from community property to the separate property of their adult children indicated:

ASSET 1. 1982 Plymouth Champ automobile, vehicle ID #JP3BE282XCM501289 Ann Tidwell

2. 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit automobile, vehicle ID #1793837738 Stacy Tidwell

16/FL3

- 21 -

In re the Marriage of Tidwell Sacramento Superior Court No. 837081 Judgment of Dissolution of Marriage

• 1

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: MAY - 5 1988

JOYCE RUSSELL SMITH, CLERK BY A. McINTYRE

DEPUTY CLERK

∝

ARTHUR G. SCOTLAND
THE SUPERIOR COURT

- 22 -



OFFICE OF THE CLERK-RECORDER

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

JOYCE RUSSELL SMITH CLERK-RECORDER

DATE: <u>May</u> 31, 1988

Walter V. Sidwell			÷
<i>s</i>	CASE NO.	8370	180
Barbara 9.	3	.s.	
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		19
THE ANNEXED INSTRUMENTS CONSISTING OF	24	PAGES	ARE
CORRECT COPIES OF THE ORIGINALS ON FILE I	n my off	ICE.	8
ATTEST: May 31, 1988			
CERTIFIED:			
JOYCE RUSSELL SMITH COUNTY CLERK OF THE AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, STATE O	SUPERIOR F CALIFO	COURT RNIA	IN
BY: Aufonia R. Rus	31	8	



Clerk's Office 720 9th Street Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 440-5522

Recorder's Office 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 440-6334

1	PROOF OF SERVICE (C.C.P. §1013a)
2	In Regard to CEO'S Administration Decision Appeal of Staff Decision re Request to Modify Retirement Benefits for SCERS' Member Walter Tidwell
3	Our File No.: MHA/24-074
4	I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Sacramento. I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to the within action. My business address is 1912 I Street,
5	Sacramento, CA 95811.
6	On October 4, 2024, I served the below-described document(s) by the following means of service:
7	# #
8	✓ BY PERSONAL SERVICE [C.C.P. §1011(a)]: I personally delivered, to the person(s) set forth below, a sealed envelope containing the below-described document(s).
10	4
11	NAME/DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT(S) SERVED:
12	REQUEST FOR BOARD OF RETIREMENT'S CONSIDERATION
13	ADDRESSES OF SERVICE:
14	
	Via Personal Service
15 16	Sacramento County Employees' Retirement System 980 9 th Street, Suite 1900
17	Sacramento, California 95814
18	I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the
19	foregoing is true and correct and was executed on October 4, 2024, at Sacramento, California.
20	- fafter
21	Tay of S. Dorn
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	2
27	
28	

The court shell reserve jurisdiction over . 5. Husband's military reserve retirement and his retirement with the County of Sacramento. As to the military reserves, upon the earlier of 'Husband's reaching age 60 or actually retiring and beginning to receive retirement benefits, at Wife's election, the community interest in the benefits shall be divided 56.09% to Wife and 43,91% to Husband. Pas to the County of Sacramento, upon the earlier of Musband's reaching age 62 or actually retiring and beginning to receive retirement benefits, at Wife's election, the community interest in the benefits shall be divided 53.73% to Wife and 46.27% to Husband. If Wife predeceases Husband, her share in the community interest in the benefits being paid under both of the above plans shall revert to Husband. The community interest in Husband's, retirement' benefits whall be calculated (1) under the time rule of In re Marriage of Brown (1976) 15 Cal.3d 838, 126 Cal.Rptr. 633, 544 P.2d 561, as to the County of Sacramento benefits and (2) by the ratio of creditable service points earned during the marriage to total creditable service points earned per In re Marriage of Poppe (1979 97 Cal.App.3d 1, 158 Cal. Rptr. 500, as to the military reserve benefits. As to the County of Sacramento, the total community years are 22.54. As to the military reserves, the total community points are 1244. Wife waiyes any rights she hay have under <u>In re Marriade of</u> Gilmore (1981) 29 Cal.36 418, 174 Cal.Rptr. 493, 629 P.26 1, and: its, progeny in state and federal law to receive her share of Husband's retirement benefits prior to 'Ausband's reaching age 5% (as to the County of Sacramento) or age 50 (as to the military "westves). . Further, if Wife * elects to receive her share of Husband's retirement behefits when Husband reaches age 52 (as to the County of Sacramento) or age 60 (as to the military, reserves), she shall not continue to share in any increase in 20 said behafits from the date of her election fogward except for 21 any automatic cost of living increases.

15

19

20

21

1.71

12

Received

MAY 2 4 2024

Sacramento County Employees'

May 17, 2024

Walter V. Tidwell

Sacramento County Employees Retirement System 980 9th Street, Suite 1900 Sacramento, CA 95814

I am writing this letter to officially request that the Sacramento County Retirement System begin sending my deceased ex-spouse, Barbara Jean Knoblach (formerly Tidwell) DOB: Feb 3, 1941 shares of my retirement benefit to me effective June 1, 2024 per our divorce agreement. Enclosed is a copy of the Notice of Entry of Judgement from May 6, 1988. Please see Attachment A, Pages 2 and 3, Division of Community Property and Obligations.

Barbara Jean Knoblach passed away on April 29, 2024. I will send a copy of the original Death Certificate as soon as I receive it. I was told by Justin on May 17, 2024, that a copy is all you need. I have requested Certified Copies from my daughter in case your Legal Department requests one.

If you have any questions for me, please contact my wife Cindy Tidwell at 916-717-0461 and she will get the message to me. I will return your call as soon as possible.

Cordially, Walter V, Fiduelle

Walter V. Tidwell

Enclosure

Page 2 of 26)

OFFICE OF THE CLERK-RECORDER

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

JOYCE RUSSELL SMITH CLERK-RECORDER

DATE: <u>May 31, 1988</u>

Received

MAY 2 4 2024

Secremento County Employees Retirement System

Walter V. Sidwell

VS

CASE NC. 83 708!

Barbara 9 Sidwell

THE ANNEXED INSTRUMENTS CONSISTING CF

PAGES ARE

CORRECT COPIES OF THE ORIGINALS ON FILE IN MY OFFICE.

ATTEST: May 31, 1988

CERTIFIED:

JOYCE RUSSELL SMITH

COUNTY CLERK AND EX-OFFICIO CLERK OF THE SUFERIOR COURT IN

AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



Clerk's Office 720 9th Street Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 440-5522

Recorder's Office 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 440-6334

· '		_1
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and ,_Jress): TELE	PHONE NO.:	FOR COURT USE ONLY
GREVE, CLIFFORD, DIEPENBROCK & PARAS		
1000 G Street, Suite 400 (916) 443-201	1	
Post Office Box 2469	-	
Sacramento, CA 95811-2469		
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Petitioner WALTER V. TIDWELL		ENIDODOFO
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO	F:1 .	ENDORSED
	Filed	
		_
MAILING ADDRESS:		MAY 05 1988
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Sacramento, CA 95814		1 1000
BRANCH NAME:	IOVE TO THE PERSON OF THE PERS	Curoru
MARRIAGE OF	3010	RUSSELL SMITH, CLERK
PETITIONER: WALTER V. TIDWELL	РУ	A McINTYRE, Deputy
RESPONDENT: BARBARA J. TIDWELL		
		·
JUDGMENT	CASE NUMBER:	
X Dissolution Legal separation	Nullity 83	7081
Status only		•
Reserving jurisdiction over termination of marital status		• .
Date marital status ends: Upon entry of judgment		
1. This proceeding was heard as follows: default or uncontested	by declaration under Civ	il Code, § 4511 X contested
a. Date: May 5, 1988 Dept.: 11 Rm.:		
	udaa	
b. Judge (name): ARTHUR G. SCOTLAND Temporary ju	·	
c. X Petitioner present in court X Attorney present in c		W. MUNSILL
d. X Respondent present in court X Attorney present in c		A T. BUDWIN
e Claimant present in court (name):	Attorney r	present in court (name):
2. The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent on (date): 7/3/87	,	
X Respondent was served with process Respondent appeared	t	
3. THE COURT ORDERS, GOOD CAUSE APPEARING:		
a. X Judgment of dissolution be entered. Mailtal status is terminated and	the parties are restored to	the status of unmarried persons
(1) X on the following date (specify): Upon entry of		
(2) on a date to be determined on noticed motion of either	party or on stipulation.	
b. Judgment of legal separation be entered.	port, or on one	
c Judyment of nullity be entered and the parties are declared to be	unmarried persons on th	e around of
	dimarried persons on the	e ground or
Ispecify):		
4. THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS:		
a. Jurisdiction is renerved to make other and further orders necessary to o	arry out this judgment.	
b. Wife's former name be restored <i>(specify)</i> :		
c. This judgment shall be entered nunc pro tunc as of Idate):		
d. Jurisdiction is reserved over all other issues and all present orders		
e. Any payment for spousal or family support contained in this judgment :	shall terminate upon the	death of the payee unless
otherwise provided.	i	
f. X Other (specify): See Attachment "A" attache	d hereto, which	h is incorporated
in, merged with, and made a part of thi		
The parties are ordered to comply with		
Attachment "A".	cacu and every	PEOATSTOIL OF
RHX	 	Etripapapapapanan
-XXX	AAAAAAAAAXXXXXXXXX	<u>EXXXXXXXXXXXXX</u>
5. Number of pages attached: 22° 7° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10	Signature follows last a	nachment
i X	orginature ronorranast a	
	:	
- NOTICE -	İ	
1. Please in the wiyour will, insurance policies, letitument benefit plans, and other	r matters you may valify to	change in view of the dissolution
or annuing it or your marriage. Society your mainage may automatically chat. A debt or obligation may be assigned to one purity as part of the division.	nge a disposition made by	to that note the not never the
2. A debt or unligation may be unsigned to one purity as part of the division debt or obligation, the creditor may be able to collect from the other part		see one party ages not pay that
 if you call to pay unit court ordered child support, an assignment of your 	wages will be obtained	without further notice to you
	-	• • •

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address):	ELEPHONE NO.: FOR COURT USE ONLY
GREVE, CLIFFORD, DIEPENBROCK & PARAS	elermone no.
1000 G Street, Suite 400 (916) 443-201	11
Post Office Box 2469	
Sacramento, CA 95811-2469	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Petitioner WALTER V. TIDWELL	ENDORSED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO	Show it is also to be a second to the second
STREET ADDRESS: 720 Ninth Street	0.4000
MAILING ADDRESS:	мду - 6 1988
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Sacramento, CA 95814	
BRANCH NAME:	JOYCE RUSSELL SMITH, CLERK
MARRIAGE OF	By Deputy
PETITIONER: WALTER V. TIDWELL	v. Courtney
	4. Cour rivey
RESPONDENT: BARBARA J. TIDWELL	
NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT	CASE NUMBER
NOTICE OF ENTITY OF SODGINEIN	837081
1. X Dissolution of Marriage	- 5 1988
2. Dissolution of Marriage — Status Only	
3. Dissolution of Marriage — Reserving Jurisdiction over Termin	ation of Marital Status
4. Legal Separation	
5. Nullity	•
6. Other (specify):	
	·
Date: MAY - 6 1988	V. Courtney , Deputy
- NOTICE TO ATTORNEY OF RECORD OR PAR	TV WITHOUT ATTORNEY _
Pursuant to the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure section 1952, if no apport of otherwise disposed of after 60 days from the expiration of the appeal time	
Effective date of termination of marital status (specify): WARNING: NEITHER PARTY MAY REMARRY UNTIL THE EFFECTIVE DATE	ITRY OF THIS JUDGMENT
	OF THE TERMINATION OF MARITAL STATUS
AS SHOWN IN THIS BOX.	
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF	i i
I certify that I am not a party to this cause and that a true copy of the Notice	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
fully prepaid, in a sealed envelope addressed as shown below, and that the	
at (place): SACRAMENTO , California	,
on (date): 1940 INVOC DUCCELL ONLTH	V. Courtney
Date: JUTUE RUSSELL SMITH Clerk, by	, Deputy
·	T. Budwin
	ney at Law
	Madison Avenue, Suite 109
Sacramento, CA 95811-2469 Citrus	s Heights, CA 95610

ATTACHMENT A

2

THE PARTIES AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

both residents of Sacramento County, California.

4

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

TIDWELL (hereinafter Petitioner WALTER V. "Husband") TIDWELL, (hereinafter and Respondent BARBARA J. "Wife") were married on September 28, 1963. Husband and Wife are

> 2. There are no minor children of the marriage.

3. Husband's Social Security Number Wife's Social Security Number is

- criminal investigator with Husband is a Sacramento County District Attorney's Office and also serves in the United States Air Force Reserves, grossing approximately \$4,300.00 Wife employee with Research per month. is an Management International, currently grossing approximately \$1,600.00 per month.
- 5. Irreconcilable differences have arisen between Husband and Wife, as a result of which they separated on October 10, 1986. The parties also separated from November 1, 1985, to April 30, 1986, giving them a marriage of approximately 22 years and seven months.
- The intent of this Stipulated Judgment is to effect a substantially equal division between Husband and Wife of their community assets and obligations, and to effect a complete and final settlement of their respective property rights and their rights to support and other financial rights and obligations, interests, and claims.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

both of the above plans shall revert to Husband. The community interest in Husband's retirement benefits shall be calculated (1) under the time rule of In re Marriage of Brown (1976) 15 Cal.3d 838, 126 Cal.Rptr. 633, 544 P.2d 561, as to the County of Sacramento benefits and (2) by the ratio of creditable service points earned during the marriage to total creditable service points earned per In re Marriage of Poppe (1979 97 Cal.App.3d 1, 158 Cal.Rptr. 500, as to the military reserve benefits. the County of Sacramento, the total community years are 22.54. As to the military reserves, the total community points are 1244. Wife waives any rights she may have under In re Marriage of Gilmore (1981) 29 Cal.3d 418, 174 Cal.Rptr. 493, 629 P.2d 1, and its progeny in state and federal law to receive her share of Husband's retirement benefits prior to Husband's reaching age 52 (as to the County of Sacramento) or age 60 (as to the military reserves). Further, if Wife elects to receive her share of Husband's retirement benefits when Husband reaches age 52 (as to to the military the County of Sacramento) or age 60 (as reserves), she shall not continue to share in any increase in said benefits from the date of her election forward except for any automatic cost of living increases.

C. All community debts other than those listed in Exhibit "A" have been paid in full by the parties, each party waiving any Epstein credits he or she may have been entitled to because of the use of his or her separate funds to pay on said debts.

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7. In consideration of the foregoing, and of the respective promises set forth in this Stipulated Judgment, the parties agree as follows:

I.

DIVISION OF COMMUNITY PROPERTY AND OBLIGATIONS

A. Husband and Wife declare that the assets and obligations listed in Exhibit "A" constitute the property of their marriage that must be divided equally between them under California Civil Code Section 4800, subject to the provisions of paragraphs I.B. through C. and paragraph IV below. Husband and Wife declare that the assets and obligations shall be divided as stated in Exhibit "A", attached to this agreement and incorporated herein by this reference. To the extent the division herein is unequal, each party waives any such inequality.

В. The court shall jurisdiction reserve over Husband's military reserve retirement and his retirement with the County of Sacramento. As to the military reserves, upon the earlier of Husband's reaching age 60 or actually retiring and beginning to receive retirement benefits, at Wife's election, the community interest in the benefits shall be divided 56.09% to Wife and 43.91% to Husband. As to the County of Sacramento, upon the earlier of Husband's reaching age 52 or actually retiring and beginning to receive retirement benefits, at Wife's election, the community interest in the benefits shall be divided 53.73% to Wife and 46.27% to Husband. If Wife predeceases Husband, her share in the community interest in the benefits being paid under

II.

2

3

4

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

SEPARATE PROPERTY

The assets and debts set forth in Exhibit B hereto, which is incorporated herein by this reference, are confirmed to Husband as his sole and separate property.

The assets and debts set forth in Exhibit C hereto, which is incorporated herein by this reference, are confirmed to Wife as her sole and separate property.

The assets set forth in Exhibit D hereto, which is incorporated herein by this reference, are confirmed to parties' children, as indicated, as each child's sole and separate property.

III.

SPOUSAL SUPPORT

The court shall retain jurisdiction over the issue of spousal support for both parties until the death of either party, the remarriage of the potential recipient, or further order of the court. Neither party shall pay spousal support to the other at this time.

IV.

TAX MATTERS

- Husband and Wife agree as follows on their tax A. matters:
- The parties agree to file joint state and (1)federal income tax returns for 1987. They also agree to bear equally any taxes and divide equally any refunds for 1987 and all

previous years during which the parties were married and filed joint tax returns. The parties will also bear equally all accounting, attorneys' fees, and court costs relating to income tax matters for the tax year of 1987 and preceding years for which they filed joint tax returns.

- (2) Should any deficiency be assessed or proposed to be assessed with respect to any joint income tax return filed by Husband and Wife, the parties or their representatives agree to notify each other and to cooperate with each other in contesting, opposing, negotiating, or settling such assessment or proposed assessment.
- transfer made by the other party during the period of their marriage, without adequate consideration and without the other party's knowledge and consent, the party who made the gift shall be solely responsible for all gift taxes, penalties, or interest payable by reason of such gift or transfer.
- returns for the tax year 1988 and following tax years. Each party shall pay and be solely responsible for any tax, and shall be solely entitled to any refund, due or payable on his or her separate returns for the tax year 1988 and following tax years, each party agreeing to indemnify and hold the other harmless from any tax due in said years. For any tax year in which the parties did not or do not file joint tax returns, each party shall be responsible for, and indemnify and hold the other harmless from,

- 2

any taxes due as a result of post-judgment-date ownership, sale, transfer, or other transaction for assets that each party obtains or retains under this judgment.

- (5) Each party agrees to pay all taxes on any retirement, pension, profit sharing, and any other deferred benefits he or she has received under the Stipulated Judgment when those benefits are actually distributed or paid to and received by that party.
- cussed with his or her respective attorney the capital gain or ordinary income consequences which may result because of the liquidation of their former family residence at 4928 Andover Court, Sacramento, California. Each agrees to bear one-half (1/2) of any capital gains and ordinary income taxes arising out of that liquidation.
- (7) As used in this section, the words tax, taxes, deficiency, and refund shall include interest and penalties, if any.
- (8) The Court shall retain jurisdiction to make further orders that are necessary to enforce the provisions of this tax agreement or any other portion of this Stipulated Judgment.

v.

RECIPROCAL WAIVER OF INHERITANCE

Each party relinquishes the right to act as administrator or executor of the estate of the other, all right to inherit

^

 from the other, and all right to receive in any manner any property of the other on the death of the other, either under succession laws, community property laws, or so-called family allowance, except when one party names the other as the devisee, legatee, beneficiary, or executor under his or her Will or other instrument executed after the effective date of this Stipulated Judgment, or when such right is otherwise exclusively provided for in this Stipulated Judgment.

VI.

WARRANTIES AND OTHER AGREEMENTS

A. Husband and Wife acknowledge that through inadvertence some property of their marriage may not have been disclosed in this Stipulated Judgment. If it is discovered later that on the date of this Stipulated Judgment either party possessed community or quasi-community property with an aggregate value of more than \$500.00 that is not listed in the Exhibits to this Stipulated Judgment, and that the other party had an interest in, the other party possessing the property agrees to transfer a one-half interest in that property to the other party, or, at the election of the other party, pay the full market value of the other party's interest in that property as of the effective date of this Stipulated Judgment, or the full market value of the other party's interest at the time the interest is discovered.

B. Husband and Wife declare that in the past three years they have not, without adequate consideration, made any gifts or transfers of community or quasi-community in excess of

an aggregate value of \$500.00 to third parties without the other spouse's consent. If it should be determined that either party has made any such gifts, that party agrees to pay to the other, on demand, an amount equal to 50% of the present fair market value of such gift, or, at the election of the other party, an amount equal to one-half of the fair market value of such gift at the time that such gift was made or on the effective date of this Stipulated Judgment.

VII.

ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS

A. In connection with these proceedings and the preparation of the Stipulated Judgment, Wife has been represented by the Law Offices of Shepherd & Budwin through Donna T. Budwin, an attorney at law duly licensed to practice in the State of California. Husband has been represented by Greve, Clifford, Diepenbrock & Paras, through John W. Munsill, an attorney at law duly licensed to practice in the State of California. Each party shall pay his or her own attorneys' fees and costs incurred in the negotiations for and preparation of this Stipulated Judgment, and in the proceeding for the dissolution of the parties' marriage.

B. If either party fails to perform his or her respective obligations under this Stipulated Judgment, and the other is thereby required to incur attorneys' fees, accountants' fees, or other fees or costs, then either party shall be entitled to apply to any court of competent jurisdiction for such fees and

costs against the other party. The same rights apply to either party who has breached any warranties or representations contained in this Stipulated Judgment.

VIII.

ADVICE OF COUNSEL: VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT

The parties stipulate that they have been represented by and relied on counsel of their own choosing in negotiating and preparing this Stipulated Judgment; that they have read this Stipulated Judgment, and it was explained fully to them by their respective counsel; that they are fully aware of the contents, legal effects, and consequences of this Stipulated Judgment and its provisions. Husband and Wife further declare that they have read this Stipulated Judgment and understand and accept its contents, that there have been no promises or agreements by either party to the other, except as set forth here, that were relied on by either as inducement to enter into this Stipulated Judgment, and that this Stipulated Judgment has been entered into voluntarily, free from duress, fraud, undue influence, coercion, or misrepresentation of any kind.

Each party understands and has been advised that agreements contained here for one party to assume the obligations of the community for the other party may not be binding on the creditor, and that the creditor may retain rights against either party. Additionally, each party has been advised that obligations to third parties and to each other are subject to possible discharge in bankruptcy.

1

3

4

5

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

ASSUMPTION OF OBLIGATIONS

As to any obligations either party has assumed under this Stipulated Judgment, the assuming party agrees to indemnify the non-assuming party and to hold the non-assuming party harmless with respect to said assumed obligation. Should the non-assuming party incur expenses, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees and costs, because of the assuming party's failure to pay the obligations, the assuming party shall reimburse the non-assuming party for any such expenses incurred.

Except as expressly set forth in this Stipulated В. Judgment, neither party shall hereafter incur any indebtedness chargeable against the other or his or her estate, or contract any debt or obligations in the name of the other, and each agrees to indemnify and hold the other harmless from any such indebtedness incurred or created by the indemnifying party.

Each party declares that, except as referred to in this Stipulated Judgment, he or she has not created any debt or obligation for which the other party may be liable.

х.

WAIVER OF INTEREST IN FUTURE EARNINGS AND ACQUISITIONS

The parties agree that each shall own and hold the property received by him or her under the terms of this Stipulated Judgment and all earnings and other property hereafter acquired by each as his or her sole and separate property, free

- - 2

. 4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

from any claim of the other (except as specifically provided for herein), or of any creditor of the other by reason of the community property laws of the State of California, or by reason of any other law or fact.

XI.

INTEGRATION OF AGREEMENT

This Stipulated Judgment contains the entire agreement of the parties on the matters it covers, and it supersedes any previous agreement between the parties.

XII.

DEFAULTS NOT WAIVED

No waiver of the breach of any of the terms or provisions of this Stipulated Judgment shall be a waiver of any preceding or succeeding breach of the Stipulated Judgment or any of the provisions of it.

XIII.

EXECUTION OF OTHER DOCUMENTS

Each party shall execute promptly all documents and instruments necessary or convenient to vest titles in estates as provided in this Stipulated Judgment to effectuate its purpose and intent. Notwithstanding the failure or refusal of either party to execute such instrument, this Stipulated Judgment shall constitute a complete transfer and conveyance of the property designated as being transferred, conveyed, or assigned by each party. If the parties fail to execute any document reasonably necessary to effectuate the terms of this Stipulated Judgment,

16/FL3

within thirty (30) days after presentation of this Stipulated Judgment, on ex parte application to the Superior Court (with twenty-four (24) hours notice of application to the other party), the Clerk shall be appointed to execute the document.

XIV.

EFFECT OF RECONCILIATION ON THIS STIPULATED JUDGMENT

If the parties reconcile after executing this Stipulated Judgment before its entry as a judgment of the Court, this Stipulated Judgment shall operate as a binding marital settlement agreement between the parties and shall continue in full force until modified, altered, or terminated in writing and signed by each party.

XV.

CAPTIONS

The captions of various paragraphs in this Stipulated Judgment are for convenience only, and none of them is intended to be any part of the text of this Stipulated Judgment or intended to be referred to in construing any of the provisions of the Stipulated Judgment.

XVI.

PARTIES BOUND

This Stipulated Judgment shall inure to the benefit of and be binding on the parties and their respective heirs, executors, administrators, successors, assigns, and legal representatives.

XVII.

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

If any portion of this Stipulated Judgment is held illegal, unenforceable, void or voidable by any court, each of the remaining terms shall continue in full force as a separate contract or binding court order.

- The parties agree that each shall have the right to live separate from the other without interference or harassment.
- This Stipulated Judgment shall be subject to, and interpreted under, the laws of the State of California.
- The parties shall retain their respective Social D. Security rights as their respective separate property. Under the present law, each party may have derivative rights to direct payment from the Social Security system from employment by the other party during the marriage, and such federal payments made directly to a party shall be his or her separate property.
- Each party shall have the right, and the other E. party shall cooperate by doing all reasonable acts and supplying all appropriate documents, to confirm, on a continuing basis, compliance with all of the provisions under this Stipulated Judgment.
- F. The party receiving specific property under this Stipulated Judgment shall be entitled to, and the other party shall transfer and assign to him or her, all rights, titles, and interests in the property, and shall also be entitled to existing

(Page 18 of 26)			
. 1	insurance on that property, and the benefits, if any, previously		
2			
3	paid on that insurance, and shall be solely responsible for the		
. 4	payment of all premiums due thereafter under the insurance policy		
5	terms if the party decides, in his or her sole discretion, to		
6	maintain said policy in force.		
. 7	XVIII.		
8	EFFECTIVE DATE		
9	The effective date of this Stipulated Judgment is the		
10	date the last party to sign it signs it.		
11	DATED: 5-5-88 . /5/		
12	BARBARA J. TIDWELL, Wife		
13	DATED: 5-5-88 . /5/		
14	WALTER V. TIDWELL, Husband		
15			
16	Approved as to form and content:		
17			
18	DATED: 5-5-88 . GREVE, CLIFFORD, DIEPENBROCK & PARAS		
19	a lanas		
20	By /s/ JOHN W. MUNSILL		
. 21	Attorneys for Husband		
22			
23	DATED: 5-5-88 . LAW OFFICES OF SHEPHERD & BUDWIN		
. 24			
25	By /5/ DONNA T. BUDWIN		
26	Attorneys for Wife		
	16/FL3 - 14 -		

EXHIBIT "A"

DIVISION OF COMMUNITY ASSETS AND OBLIGATIONS

3

4

12

13

14

2

TO HUSBAND:

5 Assets

- 1. One-half (1/2) the net proceeds from sale of the parties' former family residence at 4928 Andover Court, Sacramento, California (approximately \$26,495.00), subject to the \$10,000.00 trust fund being held as tenants in common with Wife until the capital gain/ordinary income of said sale have been determined and paid.
- 2. Furniture, furnishings, tools, including air compressor, and appliances in Husband's possession.
- 11 3. 1985 Ford F-150 pickup, vehicle I.D. number 1FTEF15N7FPA84283.
 - 4. One-half (1/2) the separation balances in the parties' former joint bank accounts (already divided between the parties).
- 5. Husband's \$35,000.00 face value Servicemen's Group Life Insurance policy on Husband's life.
- 16 6. One Tax Life II \$10,000.00 single premium deposit insurance policy on Husband's life through National Western Life Insurance.
- 7. Mutual of New York term life insurance policy on Husband's life, with face value of \$72,500.00 (through Husband's employment).
- 20 8. One-half (1/2) the community shares of Pioneer Group Investment stock (app. value = \$1,350.00)
 - 9. One-half (1/2) of the proceeds of Wife's former retirement fund at SMUD (total proceeds were \$6,700.00) \$3,350.00 to be paid by Wife to Husband within 15 days of the effective date of this Stipulated Judgment.
 - 10. Undivided interest in the community interest in Husband's military reserve and County of Sacramento retirement benefits, divided as set forth in paragraph I.B. of the above Stipulated Judgment.

26

22

23

24

25

- 11. Husband's annuity business.
- 12. Gregor boat, outboard motor, and trailer.
- 13. One-half (1/2) the total contributions to Husband's deferred compensation account with the County of Sacramento from the date of the parties' marriage through October 10, 1986, plus interest on these contributions to date of distribution.

Debts

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- Debt to Golden One Credit Union for the 1985 Ford F-150 pickup truck, with approximate separation balance \$10,605.00.
- All other community debts have been paid off with community 2. funds or with separate funds for which each party has waived any available Epstein reimbursement rights.

TO WIFE

Assets

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 1. One-half (1/2) of the net proceeds from sale of the parties' former family residence at 4928 Andover Court, Sacramento, California, already divided between the parties, subject to the \$10,000.00 trust fund being held as tenants in common with Husband until the capital gain/ordinary income tax consequences of said sale have been determined and paid.
- 2. Furniture, furnishings, appliances and computer in Wife's possession.
- 3. 1985 Dodge Colt automobile, vehicle ID number JP3BEZ4A8EU204103.
- 4. 2141 Tiber River Real property located at Rancho Cordova, California, more particularly described as all that real property in the County of Sacramento, State of the Plat of California, known as Lot 616, as shown on Larchmont Sunriver Unit No. 7, according to the Official Plat thereof recorded in the Office of the Recorder of County, Maps, Map No. 13. Sacramento in Book 137 of Assessor's Parcel No. 056-0400-005-0000.
- 5. One-half (1/2) the separation balances in the parties' former joint bank accounts (already divided between the parties).
- 16 6. One \$10,000.00 single premium deposit insurance policy on Wife's life through National Western Life Insurance.
- 7. One-half (1/2) the community shares of Pioneer Group Investment stock (app. value = \$1,350.00)
- 19 8. One-half (1/2) of the proceeds of Wife's former retirement fund at SMUD (total proceeds were \$6,700.00).
 - 9. Undivided interest in the community interest in Husband's military reserve and County of Sacramento retirement benefits, divided as set forth in paragraph I.B. of the above Stipulated Judgment.
 - 10. One-half (1/2) the total contributions to Husband's deferred compensation account with the County of Sacramento from the date of the parties' marriage through October 10, 1986, plus interest on those contributions to date of distribution. If Wife choses to withdraw the above amount before Husband would be eligible to retire and withdraw said amount, then Wife shall be solely responsible for any penalties,

16/FL3

(Page 22 c	of 26)	
•		
	1	interest, or other charges incurred because of such early withdrawal.
	2	11. Wife's annuity business.
	3	12. Wife's personal effects.
	4	
	5	<u>Debts</u>
•	6	1. Debt to ICA Mortgage on note with balance of approximately \$85,500.00, secured by deed of trust against 2141 Tiber
	7	River Drive, Rancho Cordova, California.
, .	8	2. Debt on 1985 Dodge Colt automobile (previous community balance of approximately \$2,000.00 to Sacramento Credit
	9	Union paid off from Wife's share of net sale proceeds on 4928 Andover Court, pursuant to Stirulation entered
٠	10	October 21, 1987.
	11	
•	12	
	13	
	14	
	. 15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
•	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	

16/FL3

- 18 -

(Page 23 of 26)

EXHIBIT "B"

HUSBAND'S SEPARATE PROPERTY

1. All property acquired and debts incurred by Husband after the date of separation.

- 2. All property Husband has acquired by gift, bequest, devise, or inheritance and with the proceeds thereof.
- 3. Husband's interest in Century Properties Fund XVIII, ID #561-52-3261.
- 4. All property Husband acquired before the date of the parties' marriage.
- 5. The rents, issues, and profits of the above properties.

10 -

EXHIBIT "D" CHILDREN'S SEPARATE PROPERTY The parties have transmuted the following assets from community property to the separate property of their adult children indicated: CHILD ASSET 1. 1982 Plymouth Champ automobile, Ann Tidwell vehicle ID #JP3BE282XCM501289 2. 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit automobile, Stacy Tidwell vehicle ID #1793837738

16/FL3

(Page 24 of 26)

- 21 -

In re the Marriage of Tidwell Sacramento Superior Court No. 837081 Judgment of Dissolution of Marriage IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: MAY - 5 1988 ARTHUR G. SCOTLAND OF THE SUPERIOR COURT JOYCE RUSSELL SMITH, CLERK BY A. MCINTYRE DEPUTY CLERK

· 1 EXHIBIT "C" WIFE'S SEPARATE PROPERTY All property acquired and debts incurred by Wife after the date of separation. All property Wife has acquired by gift, bequest, devise, or 2. inheritance and with the proceeds thereof. All property Wife acquired before the date of the parties' 3. marriage. The rents, issues, and profits of the above properties.

(Page 26 of 26)

RE: Barbara Jean Nimmo Death Certificate



Retirement-Pension-Payroll



Cc Retirement-Pension-Payroll; SacRetire

i) You forwarded this message on 7/9/2024 10:05 AM.

Good morning, Walter.

After further review with our legal team, Barbara's benefit does not revert back to you. Statute does not allow SCERS to do that.

I apologize for the miscommunication.

If you have any additional questions or concerns, you may contact our office via reply email or phone Monday - Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m. at (916) 874-9119.

Thank you,

Paullyn Phong

Retirement Benefits Specialist
Sacramento County Employees' Retirement System
980 9th Street, Suite 1900, Sacramento, CA 95814
Main: (916) 874-9119 | Fax: (916) 874-6060
phongp@saccounty.gov | scers.org
Please note my email address has changed.



EXHIBIT E



0/12/2024 11.43 AI

EXHIBIT F

(909) 477-8920

Chico: (530) 895-3836

San Jose: (408) 292-4802

Los Angeles: (213) 640-3529

DAVID P. MASTAGNI JOHN R. HOLSTEDT CRAIG E. JOHNSEN BRIAN A. DIXON STEVEN W WELTY STUART C. WOO DAVID E. MASTAGNI RICHARD J. ROMANSKI PHILLIP R.A. MASTAGNI KATHLEEN N. MASTAGNI STORM SEAN D. HOWELL SEAN D. CURRIN KENNETH E. BACON GRANT A. WINTER JOSHUA A. OLANDER HOWARD A. LIBERMAN DOUGLAST, GREEN MELISSA M. THOM IASON AL EWERT JONATHAN D. CHAR VANESSA A. MUNOS KIMBERLY A. VELAZQUEZ

1912 1 Street Sacramento, CA 95811 (916) 446-4692 Fax (916) 447-4614 Tax 1D #94-2678460



All Correspondence to Sacramento Office www.mastagni.com

JOEL M. WEINSTEIN TAYLOR DAVIES-MAHAFFEY CARLY M. MORAN BYRON G DANELL GARRETT PORTER WILLIAM C BAIRD JEDEDIAH J. PARR STEVEN N. WELCH SPENCER M. SHURE BRANDON GOMEZ EDUARDO RUIZ MYRIAH AL CATALANO CINDY LLOYD CHRISTOPHER R. NAL GITTON TIMOTHY A. DAVIS AMANDA MCCARTHY JOSHUA R. JACOB VAHAGN D. VARTANJAN ALEXANDER J. HASTINGS

MICHAEL P. R. REED

RYAN D. MEAD

July 8, 2024

Via E-Mail and U.S. Mail

Paullyn Phong, Retirement Benefits Specialist **SCERS** 980 9th Street Suite 1900 Sacramento, California 95814 Email: phongp@saccounty.gov

Walter Tidwell

Modification of Retirement Benefit

Dear Ms. Phong:

Re:

I have been retained by Mr. Tidwell to represent him regarding enforcement of the court order that divided his SCERS retirement benefits between him and his ex-wife Barbara Nimmo. I am in receipt of you last e-mail to Mr. Tidwell dated June 12, 2024, stating "After further review with our legal team, Barbara's benefit does not revert back to you." I have attached an authorization signed by Mr. Tidwell authorizing SCERS to communicate with me directly in regard to this matter.

Please accept this letter as a request for a detailed determination setting forth the authority and reasoning for denying Mr. Tidwell's request. If any further documents or information are needed, please let me know and I will try to obtain them for your review. In addition, please indicate whether the determination is final and the process for appeal. Please call me with any questions or concerns regarding this letter.

Respectfully Submitted,

MASTAGNI HOLSTEDT, APC

STEVEN W. WELTY

Attorney at Law

SWW/tsd Enclosure(s) DAVID R. MASTAGNI
JOHN R. HOLSTEIDT
CRAUG E. JOHNSEN
BRIAN A. DIXON
STEVEN W. WEITY
STURRY C. WOO
DAVID E. MASTAGNI
RICHARD J. ROMANSKI
PHILLIP R.A. MASTAGNI
KATHLEEN N. MASTAGNI STORM
SEAN D. HOWEIL
SEAN D. CURRIN
KENNETH E. BACON
GRANT A. WINTER
JOSHUA A. OLANDER
HOWARD A. LIBIERMAN
DOUGLAS T. GREEN
MELISSA M. THOM
JASON M. EWERT DAVID P. MASTAGNI MINISSA M. THOM JASON M. EWERT JONATIAN D. CHAR VANESSA A. MUNOS KIMBERLY A. VELAZQUEZ

Sacramento Office 1912 I Street Sacramento, CA 95811 (916) 446-4692 Fax (916) 447-4614 Tax ID #94-2678460



Ranchis Cucamonga Office (909) 477-8920

Chico: (\$30) 895-3836 San Jose: (408) 292-4802 Los Angeles: (213) 640-3529

(OSLIDITA, MOFEMANN MICHAELP, R. REED JOBLM, WEINSTEIN TAYLOR DAVIES-MAHAFFEY CARLY M. MORAN BYRON G. DANELL GARRETT PORTER
WILLIAM C. BAIRD
JEDEDIAH J. PARR
STEVEN N. WELCH
SPENCER M. SHURE BRANDON COMEZ FIDUARDO RUIZ MYRLAH M. CATALANO CENDY LLOYD CHRISTOPHER R. NAUGHTON TIMUTHY A. DAVIS TIMOTHY A. DAVIS
AMANDA MCCARTHY
JOSHUA R. MCOB
VAHAGN D. VARTANIAN
M.EXANDER J. HASTINGS
RYAN D. MEAD

All Correspondence to Sacramento Office www.mastagni.com

AUTHORIZATION TO COMMUNICATE WITH SCERS

I, Walter Tidwell, hereby authorize Mastagni Holstedt, A.P.C. and Steven W. Welty to communicate with the Sacramento County Employees' Retirement System (SCERS) regarding my case.

Walter Tidwell
Walter Tidwell

Walter Tidwell

Local Control

Walter Tidwell

EXHIBIT G

File Message Help Acrobat RE: Walter Tidwell - Letter re Representation & Modification of Retirement Benefit ⊕ ← Reply ≪ Reply All Stern. Eric Wed 7/10/2024 1:02 PM Cc Riddle, Keith; i) You replied to this message on 7/10/2024 9:01 PM. Mr. Welty – SCERS is in receipt of your July 8, 2024 letter concerning Mr. Tidwell's retirement benefit. We will be handling this matter under SCERS' Administrative Appeals Policy, and this matter has been escalated to the CEO review level, which will help expedite our response. The policy allows for the CEO to respond within 60 days, but I am hoping to get back to you with a formal response much sooner. Please direct any questions to my attention. Thank you, Eric Eric Stern Chief Executive Officer Sacramento County Employees' Retirement System (SCERS) 980 9th Street, Suite 1900, Sacramento, CA 95814 Main: (916) 874-9119 | Direct: (916) 874-2486 | sterne@saccounty.gov scers.gov **SCERS**